

The relationship between the haemoglobin concentration and the haematocrit in *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria

Sue J Lee¹, Kasia Stepniewska¹, Nicholas Anstey², Elizabeth Ashley³, Karen Barnes⁴, Tran Quang Binh⁵, Umberto D'Alessandro⁶, Nicholas PJ Day^{1,7}, Peter J de Vries⁸, Grant Dorsey^{9,10,11}, Jean-Paul Guthmann¹², Mayfong Mayxay^{13,14}, Paul Newton¹⁴, Francois Nosten³, Piero Olliaro^{7,15}, Lyda Osario¹⁶, Loretzu Pinoges¹², Ric Price³, Mark Rowland¹⁷, Frank Smithuis¹⁸, Robert Taylor¹⁵ and Nicholas J White*^{1,7}

Address: ¹Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit (MORU), Mahidol University, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, 3rd Floor, 60th Anniversary Chalermprakiat Building, 420/6 Ratchawithi Rd., Ratchathewi District, Bangkok 10400, Thailand, ²Menzies School of Health Research and Royal Darwin Hospital, Darwin, NT, Australia, ³Shoklo Malaria Research Unit, Mae Sot, Thailand, ⁴University of Cape Town, South Africa, ⁵Choray Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, ⁶Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium, ⁷Centre for Clinical Vaccinology and Tropical Medicine, Oxford, UK, ⁸Division of Infectious Diseases, Tropical Medicine & AIDS, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, ⁹University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA, ¹⁰Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Sante, Burkino Faso, ¹¹Uganda Malaria Surveillance Programme, Uganda, ¹²Epicentre, France, ¹³National University of Laos, Laos, ¹⁴Wellcome Trust- Mahosot Hospital- Oxford University Tropical Medicine Research Collaboration, Laos, ¹⁵WHO TDR, Geneva, Switzerland, ¹⁶Centro Internacional de Entrenamiento e Investigaciones Medicas, Colombia, ¹⁷London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK and ¹⁸Médecins sans Frontières, The Netherlands

Email: Sue J Lee - sue@tropmedres.ac; Kasia Stepniewska - kasia@tropmedres.ac; Nicholas Anstey - nick.anstey@menzies.edu.au; Elizabeth Ashley - Elizabeth.ASHLEY@epicentre.msf.org; Karen Barnes - kbarnes@uctgsh1.uct.ac.za; Tran Quang Binh - binhtq@hcm.vnn.vn; Umberto D'Alessandro - UDAlessandro@itg.be; Nicholas PJ Day - nickd@tropmedres.ac; Peter J de Vries - p.j.devries@xs4all.nl; Grant Dorsey - gdorsey@medsfgh.ucsf.edu; Jean-Paul Guthmann - jp.guthmann@invs.sante.fr; Mayfong Mayxay - mmayxay@yahoo.com; Paul Newton - paul@tropmedres.ac; Francois Nosten - francois@tropmedres.ac; Piero Olliaro - olliarop@who.int; Lyda Osario - lydaosario@cideim.org.co; Loretzu Pinoges - Loretzu.PINOGES@epicentre.msf.org; Ric Price - ricprice@doctors.org.uk; Mark Rowland - mark.rowland@lshim.ac.uk; Frank Smithuis - frank_smithuis@yahoo.com; Robert Taylor - btaylor@oucru.org; Nicholas J White* - nickw@tropmedres.ac

* Corresponding author

Published: 2 August 2008

Received: 8 April 2008

Malaria Journal 2008, 7:149 doi:10.1186/1475-2875-7-149

Accepted: 2 August 2008

This article is available from: <http://www.malariajournal.com/content/7/1/149>

© 2008 Lee et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Malaria is a very important cause of anaemia in tropical countries. Anaemia is assessed either by measurement of the haematocrit or the haemoglobin concentration. For comparisons across studies, it is often necessary to derive one measure from the other.

Methods: Data on patients with slide-confirmed uncomplicated falciparum malaria were pooled from 85 antimalarial drug trials conducted in 25 different countries, to assess the haemoglobin/haematocrit relationship at different time points in malaria. Using a linear random effects model, a conversion equation for haematocrit was derived based on 3,254 measurements from various time points (ranging from day 0 to day 63) from 1,810 patients with simultaneous measurements of both parameters. Haemoglobin was also estimated from haematocrit with the commonly used threefold conversion.

Results: A good fit was obtained using $\text{Haematocrit} = 5.62 + 2.60 * \text{Haemoglobin}$. On average, haematocrit/3 levels were slightly higher than haemoglobin measurements with a mean difference (\pm SD) of $-0.69 (\pm 1.3)$ for children under the age of 5 ($n = 1,440$ measurements from 449 patients).

Conclusion: Based on this large data set, an accurate and robust conversion factor both in acute malaria and in convalescence was obtained. The commonly used threefold conversion is also valid.

Background

Malaria is a major cause of anaemia in tropical countries. It results from the obligatory destruction of parasitized erythrocytes, the accelerated destruction of normal erythrocytes, and variable dyserythropoiesis. Anaemia is assessed either by measurement of the haematocrit or the haemoglobin concentration. Clinical and epidemiological studies of malaria use either measure, so for comparisons across studies, it is often necessary to derive one measure from the other. Malaria is associated with increased acute phase protein concentrations and severe malaria increases erythrocyte rigidity, which may affect the relationship between haemoglobin and haematocrit. Recently, it has been suggested that the threefold conversion that is commonly used to equate the two measures ($\text{haemoglobin} = \text{haematocrit}/3$), consistently overestimates the haemoglobin concentration resulting in an underestimate of the prevalence of anaemia [1,2].

This is important as anaemia is an important measure both of the efficacy of antimalarial treatment and the effectiveness of malaria control programmes. Data from patients with slide-confirmed, uncomplicated falciparum malaria were pooled from 85 antimalarial drug trials con-

ducted in 25 different countries, to assess the haemoglobin/haematocrit relationship at different time points in malaria.

Methods

There were 78,239 haematocrit (Ht) measurements from 17,739 patients compared with 26,863 haemoglobin (Hb) measurements from 13,092 patients. The conversion equation for haematocrit was based on 3,254 measurements from various timepoints from 1,810 patients with simultaneous measurements of both parameters (mean Hb 10.5 g/dl, standard deviation (SD) 2.5 g/dl; mean Ht 33.0%, SD 7.1%). Post-treatment follow-up ranged from 14 to 63 days. Haematocrit was measured by centrifugation for 673 patients and by conductivity for 1,137 patients.

Because haematocrit or haemoglobin concentrations change during recovery from malaria, adjustment for time was included. The potential effect of age and sex on the haematocrit/haemoglobin relationship was also explored.





Figure 1


a) Plot of observed versus predicted haematocrit measurements with a line to depict the relationship with the actual haematocrit values, b) Bland-Altman plot of agreement between actual haematocrit measurements and haematocrit values estimated from haemoglobin measurements.

(a)

Less than 5 years old



(b)



(c)




Figure 2
Bland-Altman plots of agreement between actual haemoglobin measurements and haemoglobin values estimated from haematocrit measurements, by age group (Note: age was missing for seven patients).

Results and discussion

As there was significant random variation within each individual as well as between individuals (Breusch and Pagan Lagrange multiplier test for random effects, $p < 0.0001$) [3] a linear random effects model was constructed using the equation:

$$Ht_{it} = \alpha + Hb_{it} * \beta_{hb} + time * \beta_{time} + v_i + e_{it} \quad (1)$$

(where Ht is haematocrit (%), Hb is haemoglobin (g/dL), v_i is the random effect for each individual, i , β is the coefficient for each parameter that the model estimated, e_{it} is the deviation for each individual, i , at time, t , and α is the intercept) and obtained: $Ht = 5.66 + 2.58 * Hb + 0.01 * time$ which explained approximately 80% of the variance in the model (r^2 (within) = 0.63, r^2 (between) = 0.82, r^2 (overall) = 0.83). Age and sex did not significantly improve the model fit ($p = 0.22$ and $p = 0.17$, respectively).

Although time contributed significantly to the model fit (95% CI 0.005, 0.023), its effect was very small. For example, a haematocrit value of 35.5% at day 0 would change to 35.78% (35.5 + 0.28) on day 28 if time were taken into account.

A simplified conversion without the time covariate using a random effects model gave:

$$Ht = 5.62 + 2.60 * Hb \quad (2)$$

The model fit was still good (r^2 (within) = 0.63, r^2 (between) = 0.82, r^2 (overall) = 0.82; Figure 1a).

Using a Bland -Altman plot [4] to compare the values obtained using the conversion equation with the original observed values, the mean difference was -0.019 (95% CI -0.12 to 0.09) percentage points indicating that, overall, the predictions from the conversion equation tended to give slightly lower haematocrit estimates by up to 0.12 percentage points (Figure 1b). However, the conversion equation also overestimated haematocrit by up to 0.23 percentage points. Limits of agreement were calculated as the mean difference \pm 1.96 SD and 94.3% of the values fell within these limits.

Comparing these results with those reported by Carneiro *et al*, haemoglobin was also estimated from haematocrit with the commonly used threefold conversion using data from children under the age of five only ($n = 1,440$ measurements from 449 patients). The Bland-Altman plot showed good agreement when comparing haemoglobin in the field and values estimated using the threefold conversion. On average, haematocrit/3 levels were slightly higher than haemoglobin measurements with a mean dif-

ference (\pm SD; 95% CI) of -0.69 (\pm 1.3; -0.75 to -0.62). 74.2% of haematocrit/3 levels were higher than haemoglobin measurements. In contrast to Carneiro *et al*, but similar to Rodriguez-Morales *et al*, larger negative differences were not observed with decreasing haemoglobin levels (Figure 2a). Agreement was also good when applying the threefold conversion to measurements from patients between five and 14 years of age ($n = 501$ measurements from 216 patients, Figure 2b), but was best when used in adults (15 years and older, $n = 1,306$ measurements from 376 patients, Figure 2c).

Conclusion

In summary, based on this large data set, the conversion factor to equate haemoglobin and haematocrit ($Ht = 5.62 + 2.60 * Hb$) is accurate and robust both in acute uncomplicated malaria and in convalescence. The two measures can be readily interconverted. The commonly used threefold conversion is also valid.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

NJW, KS and SJL conceived the idea for paper. The data were collected from research that was designed and conducted by all the other authors. KS and SJL conducted the analysis and wrote the paper, with input from NJW and all other authors.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme on Research & Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) [Grant A50977] and was part of the Wellcome Trust-Mahidol University-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Programme.

The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the authors and may not reflect those of their employing organizations. PO is a staff member of the WHO and WRJT was a member at the time of the conduct of the study; the authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication and they do not necessarily represent the decisions, policy or views of the WHO.

References

1. Carneiro IA, Drakeley CJ, Owusu-Agyei S, Mmbando B, Chandramohan D: **Haemoglobin and haematocrit: is the threefold conversion valid for assessing anaemia in malaria-endemic settings?** *Malar J* 2007, **6**:67.
2. Rodriguez-Morales AJ, Sanchez E, Arria M, Vargas M, Piccolo C, Colina R, Franco-Paredes C: **Haemoglobin and haematocrit: the threefold conversion is also non valid for assessing anaemia in Plasmodium vivax malaria-endemic settings.** *Malar J* 2007, **6**:166.
3. Breusch TS, Pagan AR: **The Lagrange Multiplier Test and its Applications to Model Specification in Econometrics.** *The Review of Economic Studies* 1980, **47(1)**:239-253.
4. Bland JM, Altman DG: **Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement.** *Lancet* 1986, **1(8476)**:307-310.